

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/057,521	Applicant(s) TANAKA, NOBUYUKI	
	Examiner Taghi T. Arani	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

(2) Seth Weinfeld.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3: pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

55

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Taghi T. Arani
(Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner raised U.S.C. 101 issues relating to claim 55 discussing that a computer readable record medium as claimed in non-functional, hence non-statutory. The Applicant representative agreed to amend the claim in compliance with U.S.C. 101. The amendment entered as Examiner's Amendment. .